

Present: Marshall Alameida, Terence Elliott, Vicki Ferguson, Melody Hanson, Denise Noldon, Wayne Organ, Shondra West  
Wayne convened the meeting at 12:45 p.m.

- Terence had concerns about honing all of the responses into a revised statement. With Denise's suggestions, it was agreed we will identify the repeated themes such as gainful employment, lifelong learning, CTE courses, etc. in the responses and work from there. We will need to explore newer ideas

and suggestions that do not fall into a repeated category to see if it is feasible to pursue those ideas. Shondra will take the responses from the forums and find the common themes.

Kenyetta asked if we have a mechanism for the public to respond to the nine questions if they unable to attend the forum. There was discussion about using the web page. Denise said they could click on the current Mission Statement and then send an e-mail with their commentary/responses. The e-mail address would be a designated e-mail we assign who could compile the information.

Denise suggested we post the completed mission statements around the campus prior the 2014 accreditation visit so every employee and student is able to quickly refer to it.

Wayne asked if we should ask for a member of the public on the Planning Committee. The Committee agreed we should do so. Denise said we could ask the surrounding feeder cities for a representative. We do need an external view as to our planning efforts. We could annually rotate someone from each of our feeder cities.

9. Employee Satisfaction Survey - Wayne said this will be brought to the district for a compilation. We have about an 80% to 90% return which is more than last time we offered the survey.

10. Student Satisfaction Survey – Wayne has contacted department chairs and is still collecting the surveys.

11. Plan for Shared Governance Committee Reform – Wayne showed his presentation for restructuring of our college committees. The proposed plan formulates an Integration Council comprised of members from the Budget, Planning, Operations and Student Success Committees. The Integrated Council would forward recommendations to College Council. Minutes from the Integrated Council would generate evidence showing integration of planning and budgeting. College Council would continue to represent constituencies. The Integration Council would previously discuss proposals to be forwarded to College Council. The Integration Council would vet proposals to see if there is a designated budget, if it is feasible to implement, if it meets the mission of the college and ultimately show that it promotes student success. The Integration Council would be comprised of members from the four main college committees: Budget, Planning, Operations and Student Success. Integration Council would be an adhoc membership of College Council.

Wayne then showed the other college committees that would fall under the four main committees as sub committees in this initial proposal.

Student Success would have: SLO/AUO, Basic Skills, Matriculation, Service Learning, MCHS Advisory Committee as sub-committees. Umoja and Puente would also be included under the Student Success Committee. There was concern about the need for the Matriculation Committee. Denise explained that we need the Matriculation committee due to regulatory efforts mandated by the State. Wayne asked if we still need Service Learning Committee. It was decided since the Service Learning Committee was mainly a faculty member committee and we would make the Service Learning Committee part of the Academic Senate.

Operations Committee would have: Facilities Committee (new) which would take the lead of the new Master Plan as well as recommend and prioritize of the maintenance and upgrade of existing facilities. Sustainability, Safety and Facilities would be sub-committees under Operations Committee.

Budget Committee has no sub committees. Denise if we asked if we could add a Grant Committee as a sub-committee under Budget. Everyone agreed.

Planning would have: Technology, Scheduling, Enrollment Management and Catalog and Scheduling Committees. Wayne showed three different proposals where the Schedule Committee could report to Council of Chairs or Enrollment Management. Enrollment Management is to look at enrollment, marketing, etc. It seems that enrollment management drives schedule and not the other way around. There was discussion about placing the Technology Committee under Budget or Operations. It was decided to place Technology Committee under Operations as a subcommittee.

CSE-Mesa is an advisory committee. The Foundation Board is not shared governance. These committees will be maintained but do not fit in the shared governance chart.

Proposal included elimination of: Student Services Issue, Community Police Forum, EODCC, Achievement Gap Committee (name change) and Title IX. Vicki said she just returned from a Title IX conference and that committee needs to be placed under Operations Committee. Terence said EODCC does not meet regularly and is needed when hiring.

Wayne is taking this slide show to various college leaders and then ultimately to College Council for approval. There was further discussion about having the Integration Council steer the agenda for College Council without first going through President's Cabinet. After further discussion it was decided to remove the MCHS Advisory Committee from the Student Success Committee. It was decided to have a separate list of advisory committees.

Professional Development is another committee that stands alone. After discussion, it will be placed under Student Success.

12. Program Review Schedule – Wayne showed how we revised the schedule a bit to help balance out the semesters so that we are not inundated in Fall 2014 when accreditation schedules their visit.

Meeting adjourned at 2:40 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Melody Hanson  
Senior Executive Assistant to the President